

Developed by

Director
Vovchak V.V.

(signature)

(L.S.)

Approved by

Director general
Shevchenko T.G.

(signature)

(L.S.)

Annual monitoring report

2nd quarter 2011

JI project

Revamping and Modernization of the Alchevsk Steel Mill, Ukraine

Version 2 dated 14th of September 2011

Track 1 JI Registration Reference UA 100022



ІНСТИТУТ ПРОБЛЕМ ЕКОЛОГІЇ
ТА ЕНЕРГОЗБЕРЕЖЕННЯ

Table of contents

List of abbreviations	2
1. Introduction and project description	3
2. Project monitoring period and version of the document.....	4
3. Current status of the project	4
4. Sustainability – economic and social well-being	5
5. Parameters being monitored according to monitoring plan	5
6. Revisions to the Monitoring plan in comparison with PDD	6
7. Project line and baseline emissions	7
8. Emission reductions	13
9. Measures to ensure the accuracy of the results	14
10. Roles and obligations.....	14
11. Schemes for estimate of emission reductions.....	15
Annex 1 Monitoring equipment	17

List of abbreviations

PJSC “AISW” – Public Joint Stock Company “Alchevsk Iron and Steel Works”;

JI – Joint Implementation;

Slab Caster – Slab Casting Machine;

LF – Ladle Furnace;

FER – Fuel and Energy Resources.

1. Introduction and project description

The modernization program of Public Joint Stock Company “Alchevsk Iron and Steel Mill” (PJSC “AISW”), which was started in 2004, pursues complex goals: implementation of energy efficient technologies to increase competitiveness of the plant, improvement of ecological impacts, and also expansion of market presence due to increase of manufacture capacity.

The realization of the technical revamping and modernization of the steel manufacturing process, which envisaged displacement old Open-Hearth Furnaces (OHF’s) by the complex of oxygen-converter shop with two new LD Converters, was the top priority task of the project. LD Converters are joined together into one cycle with two Slab Casters, with Ladle-Furnac (LF) and Vacuumator (VD Plant), which together displaces the Blooming Mills. From the beginning it was envisaged that the project will be implemented as Joint Implementation (JI) project under the Kyoto protocol on climate change.

Before the project implementation PJSC “AISW” was using a traditional steel making technology: OHF’s, Ingot Casting and Blooming Mills. According to this technology, around 20-21% of produced slabs in cutoff pieces were returned back to the OHF’s.

According to the investment plan the project envisages the following basic Phases:

- #1 – installation of Slab Caster #1 along with LF;
- #2 – installation of Slab Caster #2 along with VD Plant;
- #3 – installation of LD Converter #2
- #4 – installation of LD Converter #1
- #5 – reconstruction of Oxygen Plant #4
- #6 – installation of Oxygen Plant #7
- #7 – installation of Oxygen Plant #8

Phases 5-7 aimed to reconstruction and introduction of Oxygen Plants are indissolubly linked with the operation of main steel facilities (Phases #1-4).

With the project implementation, generally with introduction of new Slab Casters with LF’s and VD Plant, only around 3% of steel in cutoff pieces returns back to OHF’s or to the LD Converters for recasting. As a result, such a difference between projectline and baseline scenarios leads to economy of pig iron, natural gas and also blast furnace gas, which is then used as the result of project activity, for blast furnace blowing production at the existing power plant. However the project leads to increase of electricity consumption in comparison with the baseline.

In general the JI project leads to reduction of fuel and energy resources (FER) consumption and, therefore, to GHG emission reductions.

2. Project monitoring period and version of the document

The emission reductions, examined in this report, include the period from 01/04/2011 till 30/06/2011.

Version of the document – # 2 dated 14th of September 2011

3. Current status of the project

Phases #1 and #2 were implemented: Slab Caster #1 was implemented in August 2005 and Slab Caster # 2 – in March 2007.

The implementation of LD Converter #2 (Phase #3) was completed in January 2008 (it had to be finished in the third quarter of 2007). Such a delay was caused by the financial, technical and customs difficulties and also by the delay of equipment supply.

LD Converter #1 was implemented in September 2008 (completion of Phase #4). However then, in about a month, the operation of LD Converter #1 was suspended because of financial and economic crisis. LD Converter #1 was launched again in March 2009.

The reconstruction of Oxygen Plant #4 (Phase #5) was completed on 30th of September 2005 (almost together with Slab Caster #1).

The installation of Oxygen Plant #7 (Phase #6) was completed on 19th of March 2008 (according to the previous plan it should have been completed in the third quarter of 2007). The delay was caused by the same reasons (financial, technical and customs difficulties), which were mentioned for the Phase #3, because Oxygen Plant #7 supplies oxygen for LD Converter #2.

The installation of Oxygen Plant #8 (Phase #7) was completed on 10th of December 2009 (according to the previous plan it should have been completed in the third quarter of 2009). Such a delay was caused by a lack of money for balancing and commissioning of the facility, which was caused by global financial and economic crisis.

Thereby, all basic units, mentioned in Phases of project implementation, were operational in the reporting period.

During reporting monitoring period the level of OHF steel and rolled-formed slabs output (baseline slabs) was decreased. The main volume of slabs was manufactured at Slab Casters #1,2. The productivity decrease in the baseline has caused the increase of constant FER consumption data (increase of specific FER per 1 ton of steel output). At the same time, the productivity increase in the projectline (at LD Converters and Slab Casters instead of OHF's) has caused the decrease of specific FER consumption data.

The emission reductions, examined in this monitoring report, were generated during the whole monitoring period. The monitoring was based on actual data (mentioned in the

reporting documents) of output production and FER consumption in projectline and in baseline scenarios as it is required by the Joint Implementation Project Design Document (PDD).

4. Sustainability – economic and social well-being

The project consists in the increase of energy efficiency, which reduces consumption of FER per 1 ton of steel output and improvement of the environmental safety due to replacing the main technological components by the modern equipment, highly efficient gas cleaning and aspiration facilities, which stops the increase of mass pollution formation due to raise of output. Besides, according to the project almost all new facilities are constructed with the complex of circulating water supply, which leads to reduction of sewage water and harmful substances spillage into the surface basins.

Therefore the realization of joint implementation project leads to significant improvement of environmental and working conditions at the Steel Mill not only because of GHG emission reductions, but also from reduction of harmful substances discharge.

In addition, project implementation leads to increase of payments to the budgets of all levels and, therefore, to increase of inhabitants social well being.

5. Parameters being monitored according to monitoring plan

Under the monitoring plan outlined in the PDD (section D.1, paragraph 7), ERUPT emission factors for electricity from the grid are to be used and are to be replaced by national emission factors once they will be available. On May 12, 2011 the Order of the National Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine (NEIA) № 75¹ regarding approval of specific indicators of carbon dioxide emissions for the year 2011 was issued.

Within project boundaries for the calculation of the amount of CO₂ emissions for electricity from grid the emission factor was used according to the new decree of NEIA for the 1st – class electricity consumers - 1,090 kg CO₂/kWh. The utilization of the emission factor for the 1st-class electricity consumers is justified by the resolution of National Electricity Regulatory Commission of Ukraine № 1052 of 13 August 1998², according to the resolution the 1st – class electricity consumers are the consumers, who:


- 1) receive electricity from electricity supplier at the point of sale of electricity with the degree of voltage 27.5 kV and above;
- 2) connected to the power rails of power plants (except hydroelectric, which produce electricity periodically), as well as to power rails of substations of the electricity grid with voltage of 220 kV and above, regardless voltage level at the point of sale of electricity by the power supplier to consumer;
- 3) is the industrial enterprise with average monthly rate of electricity consumption - 150 million kWh and above for the technological needs of production, regardless of the voltage level at the point of sale of electricity by the power supplier to consumer.

¹ <http://www.neia.gov.ua/nature/doccatalog/document?id=127498>

² <http://energetik.org.ua/node/90>

Based on the information stated above, PJSC “AISW” refers to the 1st – class electricity consumers, which can be proven by the agreements on electricity supply to PJSC “AISW”, which are stored at the plant.

The Schematic drawing of information preparation and supply system, which are used in this monitoring report, is presented below.



Legend:
 AS - agglomeration shop with limestone section; BFC - blast furnace shop; OHFS - open hearth furnace shop; LDCS - LD Converter shop; Bloom. - blooming; TPP - thermal power plant (blowing production, heat power); WDS - water delivery shop (pump over of technical and circulating water); TS - thermal shop (compressed air production and secondary heat power); OS - oxygen shop (oxygen, nitrogen, argon production).

6. Revisions to the Monitoring plan in comparison with PDD

Taking into account that some insignificant misstatements were made in the monitoring plan of PDD, at the stage of the monitoring report development the tables of project line and baseline emissions calculations were modified with regard to measurement unit of emission factor for coke oven gas (thus, Tonnes per 1000 Nm³ was substituted by Tonnes CO₂ per 1000 m³). Together with this, measurement unit Nm³ was substituted by m³ in order to ensure transparency of applied approach. Such insignificant modifications are considered as a revision to the Monitoring Plan in PDD.

Also, taking into account that during this monitoring period, some amount of dolomite, coke and coal were actually consumed in different production processes under the project activity (within the project line and baseline scenarios), the project developer revised the Monitoring Plan and included such parameters as amount of dolomite, coke and coal as well as appropriate CO₂ emission factors from their consumption to the tables of project

line and baseline emissions calculations. This insignificant deviation is considered as a revision to the Monitoring Plan in PDD.

Mentioned above revision ensures accuracy of applied approach.

7. Project line and baseline emissions

Colors that are used in the tables are described below:

Projectline	Baseline
Name of each indicator	Name of each indicator
Volume of FER consumption	Volume of FER consumption
Emission factor for FER	Emission factor for FER
Volume of CO ₂ emissions	
Blank cell	

All data, used in this chapter, are based on information, confirmed by PJSC “AISW” documents. This information is available to the verifier, also regarding the interconnection with the baseline and projectline tables, presented below.

Baseline

ID Number	Data variable	Units	2 nd quarter 2011
	Baseline Emissions (BE)	Tonnes CO ₂	3 271 062
B-1	Total Steel Output (TSO)	Tonnes	906 602
B-2	Total CO ₂ of Pig Iron (TCPI)	Tonnes CO ₂	2 960 181
B-3	Total CO ₂ from Fuel Consumption in Pig Iron production (TCFCPI)	Tonnes CO ₂	151 663
B-4	Percentage of Total amount of Pig Iron Produced Used in project Steel Making Activity (PII)	share	1,00
B-5	Total Pig Iron Input into Steel Making Process (TPII)	Tonnes	934 531
B-6	Total Pig Iron Produced (TPIP)	Tonnes	934 531
B-7	Quantity of each fuel (fpi) used in making Pig Iron (Q _{fpi})		
	NG	m ³	71 353 553
	COG	1000 m ³	23 114
B-8	Emission factor of each fuel (fpi) EF _{fpi}		
	NG ³	Tonnes CO ₂ per m ³	0,00187
	COG ⁴	Tonnes CO ₂ per 1000 m ³	0,79824
B-9	Total CO ₂ from Electricity used in Pig Iron production (TCEPI)	Tonnes CO ₂	290 766
B-10	Electricity Consumed in producing Pig Iron (ECPI)	MWh	266 758
B-11	Emissions Factor for Electricity Consumption in making Pig Iron (EFECPI) ⁵	Tonnes CO ₂ /MWh	1,090

³ In accordance with Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. Reference Manual (Volume 2), Chapter 1 (Energy), Table 1-1 (continued), page 1.13 (<http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/gl/guidelin/ch1ref1.pdf>).

⁴ In accordance with “National GHG inventory of Ukraine, period 1990-2008”, Table P2.7, page 264 (http://unfccc.int/national_reports/annex_i_ghg_inventories/national_inventories_submissions/items/5270.php).

B-12	Total CO ₂ from inputs into Pig Iron (TCIPI)	Tonnes CO ₂	2 517 751
B-13	Total Carbon from Fuel Consumption in Sintering (TCFIO)	Tonnes CO ₂	50 938
B-14	Quantity of each fuel (fio) used in Sintering (Q _{fio})		
	NG	m ³	12 980 509
	COG	1000 m ³	33 454
B-15	Emission factor of each fuel in Sintering (fio) EF _{fio}		
	NG	Tonnes CO ₂ per m ³	0,00187
	COG	Tonnes CO ₂ per 1000 m ³	0,79824
B-16	Total CO ₂ from Electricity used in Sintering (TCEIO)	Tonnes CO ₂	64 845
B-17	Electricity Consumed in Sintering (ECIO)	MWh	59 491
B-18	Emissions Factor for Electricity Consumption in Sintering (EFECIO)	Tonnes CO ₂ /MWh	1,090
B-19	Total CO ₂ from Reducing Agents (TCRAPI)	Tonnes CO ₂	2 130 420
	Total Reducing Agent (coke)	Tonnes	511 060
	Default Emission Factor (coke) ⁶	Tonnes CO ₂ /Tonne	3,66
	Total Reducing Agent (coal)	Tonnes	103 976
	Default Emission Factor (coal) ⁷	Tonnes CO ₂ /Tonne	2,50
B-20	Total CO ₂ from limestone (TCLPI) in Pig iron production	Tonnes CO ₂	271 549
	Total Limestone	Tonnes	437 834
	Default Emission Factor (limestone) ⁸	Tonnes CO ₂ /Tonne	0,44
	Total dolomite	Tonnes	165 412
	Default Emission Factor (dolomite) ⁹	Tonnes CO ₂ /Tonne	0,477
B-21	Total CO ₂ from steam production in Pig Iron Production (TCSPI)	Tonnes CO ₂	
B-22	Quantity of each fuel (fsp) used in steam production in Pig Iron Production (Q _{fspi})		
	fuel 1		
	fuel 2		
B-23	Emission factor of each fuel in steam production (fsp) EF _{fspi}		
	fuel 1		
	fuel 2		
B-24	Total CO ₂ emissions from the furnace process (TCFP)	Tonnes CO ₂	233 770
B -25	Total CO ₂ emissions from fuel consumption in the furnace process (TCFCFP)	Tonnes CO ₂	82 639
B -26	Quantity of each fuel (ffp) used in furnace		

⁵ In accordance with the Order of the National environmental investment agency of Ukraine #75 dated 12th of May 2011 – <http://www.neia.gov.ua/nature/doccatalog/document?id=127498>.

⁶ In accordance with Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. Reference Manual (Volume 3), Chapter 2 (Industrial Processes), Table 2-12, page 2.26 (<http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/gl/guidelin/ch2ref2.pdf>) and 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, Volume 3 Industrial Processes and Product Use, Chapter 4 Mineral Industries Emissions, Section 4.2.2.3 *Choice of Emission Factors*, Table 4.1, page 4.25 (http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/2006gl/pdf/3_Volume3/V3_4_Ch4_Metal_Industry.pdf).

⁷ In accordance with Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. Reference Manual (Volume 3), Chapter 2 (Industrial Processes), Table 2-12, page 2.26 (<http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/gl/guidelin/ch2ref2.pdf>).

⁸ In accordance with Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. Reference Manual (Volume 3), Chapter 2 (Industrial Processes), Section 2.5.2 *Emissions estimation methodology for CO₂*, page 2.10 (<http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/gl/guidelin/ch2ref1.pdf>).

⁹ In accordance with Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. Reference Manual (Volume 3), Chapter 2 (Industrial Processes), Section 2.5.2 *Emissions estimation methodology for CO₂*, page 2.10 (<http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/gl/guidelin/ch2ref1.pdf>).

	process (Q_{fp})		
	NG	m ³	34 455 607
	COG	1000 m ³	13 250
	Total Reducing Agent (coke)	Tonnes	1 171
	Total Reducing Agent (coal)	Tonnes	1 380
B -27	Emission factor of each fuel in furnace process (ffp) EF_{ffp}		
	NG	Tonnes CO ₂ per m ³	0,00187
	COG	Tonnes CO ₂ per 1000 m ³	0,79824
	Default Emission Factor (coke)	Tonnes CO ₂ /Tonne	3,66
	Default Emission Factor (coal)	Tonnes CO ₂ /Tonne	2,50
B -28	Total CO ₂ emissions from electricity consumption in the furnace process (TCECFP)	Tonnes CO ₂	110 746
B -29	Electricity Consumed in furnace process (ECFP)	MWh	101 602
B -30	Emissions Factor for Electricity Consumption in furnace process (EFECFP)	Tonnes CO ₂ /MWh	1,090
B -31	Total CO ₂ emissions from inputs to the furnace process (TCIFP)	Tonnes CO ₂	40 385
B -32	Total CO ₂ from Argon entering the furnace (TCAFP)	Tonnes CO ₂	155
B -33	Total CO ₂ from steam production in furnace process (TCSFP)	Tonnes CO ₂	
B -34	Quantity of each fuel (fsp) used in steam production in furnace process (Q_{fsp})		
	fuel 1		
	fuel 2		
B -35	Emission factor of each fuel in furnace process (fsp) EF_{fsp}		
	fuel 1		
	fuel 2		
B -36	Total CO ₂ from compressed air production in furnace process (TCCAFP)	Tonnes CO ₂	1 127
B -37	Quantity of each fuel (fca) used in compressed air production in furnace process (Q_{fca})		
	NG	m ³	
	COG	1000 m ³	
B -38	Emission factor of each fuel in furnace process (fca) EF_{fca}		
	NG	Tonnes CO ₂ per m ³	0,00187
	COG	Tonnes CO ₂ per 1000 m ³	
B -39	Electricity Consumed in making compressed air for the furnace process in steel making (ECCA)	MWh	1 034
B -40	Emissions Factor for Electricity Consumption (EFECCA)	Tonnes CO ₂ /MWh	1,090
B -41	Total CO ₂ from oxygen production (TCOFP)	Tonnes CO ₂	
B -42	Quantity of each fuel (fop) used in oxygen production (Q_{fop})		
	fuel 1		
	fuel 2		
B -43	Emission factor of each fuel in oxygen production (fop) EF_{fop}		
	fuel 1		
	fuel 2		

B -44	Electricity Consumed in making oxygen (ECOP)	MWh	
B-45	Emissions Factor for Electricity Consumption in making oxygen (EFECOP)	Tonnes CO ₂ /MWh	1,090
B-46	Total CO ₂ from limestone for furnace process (TCLFP)	Tonnes CO ₂	39 103
	Total Limestone	Tonnes	85 614
	Default Emission Factor (limestone)	Tonnes CO ₂ /Tonne	0,44
	Total dolomite	Tonnes	3 004
	Default Emission Factor (dolomite)	Tonnes CO ₂ /Tonne	0,477
B-47	Total CO ₂ from blooming (TCBM)	Tonnes CO ₂	77 111
B-48	Total CO ₂ from fuel consumption in blooming (TCFCBM)	Tonnes CO ₂	19 581
B-49	Quantity of each fuel (fbm) used in blooming (Q _{fbm})		
	NG	m ³	1 037 740
	COG	1000 m ³	22 104
B -50	Emission factor of each fuel in blooming (fbm) EF _{fbm}		
	NG	Tonnes CO ₂ per m ³	0,00187
	COG	Tonnes CO ₂ per 1000 m ³	0,79824
B-51	Total CO ₂ from electricity consumption in blooming (TCECBM)	Tonnes CO ₂	57 530
B-52	Electricity Consumed in blooming (ECBM)	MWh	52 780
B-53	Emissions Factor for Electricity Consumption in blooming (EFECBM)	Tonnes CO ₂ /MWh	1,090

Project line

ID number	Data variable	Units	2 nd quarter 2011
	Project Emissions (PE)	Tonnes CO ₂	2 759 722
P-1	Total Steel Output (TSO)	Tonnes	906 602
P-2	Total CO ₂ of Pig Iron (TCPI)	Tonnes CO ₂	2 611 585
P-3	Total CO ₂ from Fuel Consumption for Pig Iron (TCFCPI)	Tonnes CO ₂	105 467
P-4	Percentage of Total amount of Pig Iron Produced Used in project Steel Making Activity (PII)	share	1,00
P-5	Total Pig Iron Input into Steel Making Process (TPII)	Tonnes	800 470
P-6	Total Pig Iron Produced (TPIP)	Tonnes	800 470
P-7	Quantity of each fuel (fpi) used in making Pig Iron (Q _{fpi})		
	NG	m ³	48 053 409
	COG	1000 m ³	19 736
P-8	Emission factor of each fuel in Pig Iron Production (fpi) EF _{fpi}		
	NG	Tonnes CO ₂ per m ³	0,00187
	COG	Tonnes CO ₂ per 1000 m ³	0,79824
P-9	Total CO ₂ from Electricity used in Pig Iron production (TCEPI)	Tonnes CO ₂	246 961
P-10	Electricity Consumed in producing Pig Iron (ECPI)	MWh	226 569

P-11	Emissions Factor for Electricity Consumption in Pig Iron Production (EFECPI)	Tonnes CO ₂ /MWh	1,090
	Total Electricity Used in Steel Making Process		
	Grid Emission Factor	Tonnes CO ₂ /MWh	1,090
	CHP Plant Emission Factor	Tonnes CO ₂ /MWh	
	Total Electricity Produced by CHP	MWh	
	Blast Furnace Gas	1000 m ³	
	NG	m ³	
	Emission factor for BFG	Tonnes CO ₂ per 1000 m ³	
	Emission factor NG	Tonnes CO ₂ per m ³	0,00187
P-12	Total CO ₂ from inputs into Pig Iron (TCIPI)	Tonnes CO ₂	2 259 157
P-13	Total CO ₂ from Fuel Consumption in Sintering (TCFIO)	Tonnes CO ₂	59 337
P-14	Quantity of each fuel (fio) used in Sintering (Q _{fio})		
	NG	m ³	19 531 183
	COG	1000 m ³	28 655
P-15	Emission factor of each fuel in Sintering (fio) EF _{fio}		
	NG	Tonnes CO ₂ per m ³	0,00187
	COG	Tonnes CO ₂ per 1000 m ³	0,79824
P-16	Total CO ₂ from Electricity used in Sintering (TCEIO)	Tonnes CO ₂	56 365
P-17	Electricity Consumed in Sintering (ECIO)	MWh	51 711
P-18	Emissions Factor for Electricity Consumption (EFECIO)	Tonnes CO ₂ /MWh	1,090
P-19	Total CO ₂ from Reducing Agents (TCRAPI)	Tonnes CO ₂	1 824 806
	Total Reducing Agent (coke)	Tonnes	437 747
	Default Emission Factor (coke)	Tonnes CO ₂ /Tonne	3,66
	Total Reducing Agent (coal)	Tonnes	89 060
	Default Emission Factor (coal)	Tonnes CO ₂ /Tonne	2,50
P-20	Total CO ₂ from limestone (TCLPI) in Pig iron production	Tonnes CO ₂	318 649
	Total Limestone	Tonnes	467 420
	Default Emission Factor (limestone)	Tonnes CO ₂ /Tonne	0,440
	Total dolomite	Tonnes	236 864
	Default Emission Factor (dolomite)	Tonnes CO ₂ /Tonne	0,477
P-21	Total CO ₂ from steam production in Pig Iron Production (TCSPI)	Tonnes CO ₂	
P-22	Quantity of each fuel (fspj) used in steam production in Pig Iron Production (Q _{fspj})		
	NG	m ³	
	COG	1000 m ³	
P-23	Emission factor of each fuel in Steam Production (fspj) EF _{fspj}		
	NG	Tonnes CO ₂ per m ³	0,00187
	COG	Tonnes CO ₂ per 1000 m ³	0,79824
P-24	Total CO ₂ emissions from the furnace process (TCFP)	Tonnes CO ₂	96 352
P-25	Total CO ₂ emissions from fuel consumption in the furnace process (TCFCFP)	Tonnes CO ₂	12 729

P-26	Quantity of each fuel (ffp) used in furnace process (Q_{ffp})		
	NG	m ³	2 174 000
	COG	1000 m ³	5 605
	Total Reducing Agent (coke)	Tonnes	46
	Total Reducing Agent (coal)	Tonnes	1 611
P-27	Emission factor of each fuel in the furnace process (ffp) EF_{ffp}		
	NG	Tonnes CO ₂ per m ³	0,00187
	COG	Tonnes CO ₂ per 1000 m ³	0,79824
	Default Emission Factor (coke)	Tonnes CO ₂ /Tonne	3,66
	Default Emission Factor (coal)	Tonnes CO ₂ /Tonne	2,50
P-28	Total CO ₂ emissions from electricity consumption in the furnace process (TCECFP)	Tonnes CO ₂	82 731
P-29	Electricity Consumed in the furnace process (ECFP)	MWh	75 900
P-30	Emissions Factor for Electricity Consumption in the furnace process (EFECFP)	Tonnes CO ₂ /MWh	1,090
P-31	Total CO ₂ emissions from inputs to the furnace process (TCIFP)	Tonnes CO ₂	891
P-32	Total CO ₂ from Argon entering the furnace (TCAFP)	Tonnes CO ₂	155
P-33	Total CO ₂ from steam production in the furnace process (TCSFP)	Tonnes CO ₂	
P-34	Quantity of each fuel (fsp) used in steam production in the furnace process (Q_{fsp})		
	NG	m ³	
	COG	1000 m ³	
P-35	Emission factor of each fuel in the furnace process (fsp) EF_{fsp}		
	fuel 1		
	fuel 2		
P-36	Total CO ₂ from compressed air production for the furnace process (TCCAFP)	Tonnes CO ₂	373
P-37	Quantity of each fuel (fca) used in compressed air production (Q_{fca})		
	NG	m ³	
	COG	1000 m ³	
P-38	Emission factor of each fuel in compressed air production (fca) EF_{fca}		
	NG	Tonnes CO ₂ per m ³	0,00187
	COG	Tonnes CO ₂ per 1000 m ³	0,79824
P-39	Electricity Consumed in making compressed air for the furnace process (ECCA)	MWh	342
P-40	Emissions Factor for Electricity Consumption in compressed air production (EFECCA)	Tonnes CO ₂ /MWh	1,090
P-41	Total CO ₂ from oxygen production (TCOFP)	Tonnes CO ₂	
P-42	Quantity of each fuel (fop) used in oxygen production (Q_{fop})		
	fuel 1		
	fuel 2		
P-43	Emission factor of each fuel in oxygen production (fop) EF_{fop}		
	fuel 1		
	fuel 2		
P-44	Electricity Consumed in making oxygen	MWh	

	(ECOP)		
P-45	Emissions Factor for Electricity Consumption in making oxygen (EFECOP)	Tonnes CO ₂ /MWh	1,090
P-46	Total CO ₂ from limestone for furnace process (TCLFP)	Tonnes CO ₂	363
	Total Limestone	Tonnes	826
	Default Emission Factor (limestone)	Tonnes CO ₂ /Tonne	0,440
	Total dolomite	Tonnes	0
	Default Emission Factor (dolomite)	Tonnes CO ₂ /Tonne	0,477
P-47	Total CO ₂ from casting (TCBM)	Tonnes CO ₂	51 785
P-48	Total CO ₂ from fuel consumption in casting (TCFCBM)	Tonnes CO ₂	2 174
P-49	Quantity of each fuel (fbm) used in casting (Q _{fbm})		
	NG	m ³	795 000
	coal electrodes	Tonnes	192
P-50	Emission factor of each fuel used in casting (fbm) EF _{fbm}		
	NG	Tonnes CO ₂ per m ³	0,00187
	Default Emission Factor (coal electrodes) ¹⁰	Tonnes CO ₂ /Tonne	3,6
P-51	Total CO ₂ from electricity consumption in casting (TCECBM)	Tonnes CO ₂	49 611
P-52	Electricity Consumed in casting (ECBM)	MWh	45 515
P-53	Emissions Factor for Electricity Consumption in casting (EFECBM)	Tonnes CO ₂ /MWh	1,090

The amount of emission reductions that were actually generated in the second quarter of 2011 is higher than it was expected in PDD because of the following reason. The baseline of the project is developed based on the real steel manufacturing process as well as projectline. Taking into account the implication of economy of scale and the fact that loading factor for baseline was much lower than for projectline, the emission reductions were more sensitive to change of specific energy consumption per 1 t of slabs produced than actually envisaged in the PDD. However this influence was beyond of project participants’ control and fully based on market situation and requirements.

The calculations of GHG emission reductions, indicated in the tables, are based on the real data of FER consumption both for baseline and projectline, according to the methodology. The emission reductions data are given in the next chapter.

8. Emission reductions

Following table shows emission reductions through the project¹¹:

	2 nd quarter 2011
Baseline Emissions, t CO _{2e}	3 271 062

¹⁰ In accordance with Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. Reference Manual (Volume 3), Chapter 2 (Industrial Processes), Table 2-12, page 2.26 (<http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/gl/guidelin/ch2ref2.pdf>).

¹¹ Project and baseline emissions (which are provided in this chapter) are rounded to the whole figure (1t) and are based on calculations which are demonstrated in the attached excel file. The file is provided to the verifier.

Project Emissions, t CO_{2e}	2 759 722
Emission Reductions, t CO_{2e}	511 340

9. Measures to ensure the accuracy of the results

The monitoring of JI project indicators of at PJSC “AISW” is realized on regular basis where the system of data collection on FER consumption is being used. The data needed for the monitoring of the project is collected during the process of normal equipment use. The production facilities of the plant are equipped with the measuring devices such as scales, meters and gas, water, steam, electricity consumption meters¹². The monitoring of the project forms an organic part of routine monitoring of manufacturing process. This allows receiving data regarding the project continuously.

PJSC “AISW” uses the accredited system of quality regulation according to the requirements of the ISO 9001 standard. The Guiding Metrological Instructions were developed in accordance with ISO 9001. They secure required level of accuracy by using monitoring equipment and by the possibility to crosscheck the data adequacy.

Monitoring equipment meets the regulatory requirements of Ukraine regarding accuracy and measurement error. All the equipment used for monitoring purposes, are in line with national legislative requirements and standards and also with ISO 9001 standards. The accuracy of devices is guaranteed by the manufacturers; the error is calculated and confirmed by device certificates. All monitoring equipment is covered by the detailed verification (calibration) plan. The verification process is under strict control. All measuring equipment is included in the verification schedule and verified with established periodicity. According to the schedule of verification, all devices are in satisfactory condition. The documented instructions to operate the facilities are stored at the working places.

The monitoring procedures are quite comprehensible, because they had already been used at PJSC “AISW” for measuring input and output production parameters, and also for receiving data on level of FER and raw-materials consumption. The most effective accessible methods are used for the error minimization. Generally the error level is low for all parameters (less than 2%) that are subjected to the monitoring. Thus, the measurements uncertainty level corresponded with technologies, used in the production process, and is taken into the account when the data are taken from devices.

The procedures of receiving data for monitoring execution and responsibility for its realization at PJSC “AISW” are regulated by the normative documents of PJSC “AISW” and by the “Guiding Meteorological Instructions” in accordance with project documentation and monitoring plan.

10. Roles and obligations

¹² The list of monitoring equipment is provided in Annex 1 of this monitoring report.

The Chief Metrological Specialist of the PJSC “AISW” is in charge for maintenance of the facilities and monitoring equipment as well as for their accuracy required by Regulation PP 229-Յ-056-863/02-2005 of “Metrological services of the metallurgical mills” and by “Guiding Metrological Instructions”. In case of defect, discovered in the monitoring equipment, the actions of the staff are determined in Guiding Metrological Instructions. The measurements are conducted constantly in automatic regime.


Data are collected in the electronic database of PJSC “AISW” and in printed documents. Also data are systematized in the documents of the daily, monthly and annually registration. All those documents are saved in the planning-economic department.

The measurement results are being used by the Chief power-engineering specialist department, by the following services and technical staff of the Steel Mill. They are reflected in the technological instructions of production processes regime and also in the “Guiding Metrological Instructions” revised versions. The monitoring data reports and calculations are under the competence of the Chief power-engineering specialist assistant in accordance to the interior orders of the Steel Mill.


The direction of PJSC “AISW” has organized appropriate staff training to operate the project equipment. Thus, the trainings were conducted at the Ukrainian and foreign plants in order to operate Slab Casters and LD Converters. With the project equipment introduction the workers of PJSC “AISW” have the opportunity to update their working skills, stimulated by the permanent educational theoretical and practical courses at the Steel Plant. The information about the trainings can be given additionally.

11. Schemes for estimate of emission reductions

The baseline is the prolongation of the PJSC “AISW” historical practice of steel output; it means that situation observed in the baseline is the hypothetical situation of what could be without project implementation. The project baseline measures are represented at the picture below.



The projectline measures (the situation, formed during the monitoring period) are examined at the picture below.



Annex 1 Monitoring equipment

Classification number	Object and name of the measured parameter	Type of means of measured equipment	Serial number	Frequency of verification (calibration)
B-1 P-1	Scales for weighting steel slabs	Roller bed scales	R1-M1	Once a year
B-1 P-1	Scales for weighting steel slabs	Roller bed scales	R2-M1	Once a year
B-1 P-1	Scales for weighting steel slabs	Roller bed scales	R1-M2	Once a year
B-1 P-1	Scales for weighting steel slabs	Roller bed scales	R2-M2	Once a year
B-5 P-5	Scales for weighting pig iron	250В-250	1	Once a year
B-7 P-7	BF-1 Natural gas consumption meter	Сафир	02320193	Once a year
B-7 P-7	BF-3 Natural gas consumption meter	ДИСК-250 Сафир	51458 01522624	Once a year
B-7 P-7	BF-4 Natural gas consumption meter	ДИСК-250 Сафир	22526 05900228	Once a year
B-7 P-7	BF-5 Natural gas consumption meter	ДИСК МЕТРАН	10334 000225	Once a year Once in 2 years
B-7 P-7	Power plant Natural gas consumption meter	ДИСК-250 Метран	93038 295314	Once a year Once in 2 years
B-7 P-7	Power plant Natural gas consumption meter	ДИСК-250 Метран	93041 295315	Once a year Once in 2 years
B-7 P-7	BF-1 Coke oven gas consumption meter	РМТ-69 Метран	300-05-02 495684	Once a year Once in 2 years
B-7 P-7	BF-3 Coke oven gas consumption meter	КСД-3 ДМ 3583	331200 0220	Once a year
B-10 P-10	Electric substation 1			
	Electricity supply meter #8	Сазу-И670м	538188	Once in 4 years
	Electricity supply meter #4	Сазу - ИТ	317168	Once in 4 years
	Electricity supply meter #13	Сазу-И670м	376204	Once in 4 years
	Electricity supply meter #14	Сазу-ИТ	702005	Once in 4 years
	Electricity supply meter	Сазу-ИТ	214911	Once in 4 years

	#18			
	Electricity supply meter #19	Сазу-И670м	538091	Once in 4 years
B-10 P-10	Electric substation 1-a			
	Electricity supply meter #2	Сазу-И670м	908676	Once in 4 years
	Electricity supply meter #4	Сазу-ИТ	317168	Once in 4 years
	Electricity supply meter #11	Сазу-И670м	112022	Once in 4 years
B-10 P-10	Electric substation 1-b			
	Electricity supply meter #1	Сазу-И681	1224597	Once in 4 years
	Electricity supply meter #3	Сазу-И670м	643800	Once in 4 years
B-10 P-10	Electricity supply meter #4	Сазу-И670м	891419	Once in 4 years
	Electricity supply meter #5	Сазу-И670м	890182	Once in 4 years
	Electricity supply meter #9	Сазу-И670м	954652	Once in 4 years
	Electricity supply meter #13	Сазу-И670м	716010	Once in 4 years
	Electricity supply meter #18	Сазу-И670м	686790	Once in 4 years
	Electricity supply meter #19	Сазу-И670м	043426	Once in 4 years
	Electricity supply meter #22	Сазу-И670м	862947	Once in 4 years
B-10 P-10	Electric substation 31			
	Electricity supply meter #9	Сазу-И670м	730277	Once in 4 years
	Electricity supply meter #14	Сазу-И687	085327	Once in 4 years
	Electricity supply meter #21	Сазу-И670м	821109	Once in 4 years
B-10 P-10	Electric substation for PCI system			
	Electricity supply meter	Сазу-И687	085327	Once in 4 years
B-10 P-10	Electricity supply meter	Сазу-И670м	730277	Once in 4 years
	Electricity supply meter	Сазу-И687	085327	Once in 4 years
B-10 P-10	Electric substation Teplyaki			
	Electricity supply meter #38	Сазу-И681	224606	Once in 4 years
B-10	Electric substation 9			

P-10				
	Electricity supply meter #4	Сазу-И681	031638	Once in 4 years
	Electricity supply meter #25	Сазу-И670	962120	Once in 4 years
B-14 P-14	Natural gas consumption meter	ДИСК-250 Сафир	52206 09942204	Once a year
B-14 P-14	Coke oven gas consumption meter	ДИСК-250 Сафир	51232 08876120	Once a year
B-17 P-17	Electric substation 9			
	Electricity supply meter #21	Сазу-И670м	775495	Once in 4 years
	Electricity supply meter #24	Сазу-И670м	776978	Once in 4 years
	Electricity supply meter #28	Сазу-И670м	006458905	Once in 4 years
	Electricity supply meter #31	Сазу-И670м	005428005	Once in 4 years
B-19 B-20 B-26 B-46 P-19 P-20 P-26 P-46	Scales for weighting coke, coal, limestone, dolomite and pellets	ВЭТВ-50Д	213	Once a year
B-19 B-20 B-26 B-46 P-19 P-20 P-26 P-46	Scales for weighting coke, coal, limestone, dolomite and pellets	2315ВВ-150Э/2СД	15	Once a year
B-19 B-20 B-26 B-46 P-19 P-20 P-26 P-46	Scales for weighting coke, coal, limestone, dolomite and pellets	2361ВВ-80Э/1Д	61	Once a year
B-19 B-20 B-26 B-46 P-19 P-20 P-26 P-46	Scales for weighting coke, coal, limestone, dolomite and pellets	2315ВВ-150Э/2СД	15	Once a year

B-19 B-20 B-26 B-46 P-19 P-20 P-26 P-46	Scales for weighting coke, coal, limestone, dolomite and pellets	2361BB-80Э/1Д	61	Once a year
B-19 B-20 B-26 B-46 P-19 P-20 P-26 P-46	Scales for weighting coke, coal, limestone, dolomite and pellets	Т675 П-200	0084	Once a year
B-26	OHF shop Natural gas consumption meter	ДИСК-250 ЕЈА	00076 27E709699	Once a year
P-26	LD-Converter shop Natural gas consumption meter	СПГ 762 ЕЈА 110 А	1104 91G627701	Once a year
B-29 P-29	Electric substation “Metallurgical”			
	Electricity supply meter #9	Сазу-И670м	492796	Once in 4 years
	Electricity supply meter #15	Сазу-И670м	84581	Once in 4 years
	Electricity supply meter #20	Сазу-И670м	144256	Once in 4 years
	Electricity supply meter #25	Сазу-И670м	150493	Once in 4 years
	Electricity supply meter #35	Сазу-И670м	283537	Once in 4 years
B-32 B-39 P-32 P-39	Substation Kislородnaya 1			
	Electricity supply meter 1T 1V	LZQM	64832	Once in 6 years
	Electricity supply meter 1T 4V	LZQM	64811	Once in 6 years
	Electricity supply meter 2T 1V	LZQM	64839	Once in 6 years
	Electricity supply meter 2T 4V	LZQM	64812	Once in 6 years
P-49	Slab Casters Natural gas consumption meter	СПГ 762 ЕЈА 110 А	1059 91FC04555	Once a year
P-49	Slab Casters Natural gas	ДИСК-250 Метран	52511 11188	Once a year Once in 2 years

	consumption meter			
B-49	Blooming Natural gas consumption meter	OE-22-2M, Rosemount3095FB	007 0031319	Once a year
B-49	Blooming Natural gas consumption meter	ДИСК-250 Метран изм. кан.	51236 308530	Once a year Once in 2 years
B-49	Blooming Coke oven gas consumption meter	ДИСК-250 Метран	105272 62996	Once a year Once in 2 years
B-49	Blooming Coke oven gas consumption meter	ДИСК-250 Метран	72733 62994	Once a year Once in 2 years
B-52 P-52	Substation Prokat-110			
	Electricity supply meter #6	Сазу-И670М	064323	Once in 4 years
	Electricity supply meter #8	Сазу-И670М	881547	Once in 4 years
	Electricity supply meter #13	Сазу-И670М	041462606	Once in 4 years
	Electricity supply meter #16	Сазу-И670М	536854	Once in 4 years
	Electricity supply meter #34	Сазу-И670М	166993	Once in 4 years

General Director
PJSC “Alchevsk Iron and
Steel Works”

T.G.Shevchenko

Chief Accountant
PJSC “Alchevsk Iron and
Steel Works”

V.P. Elchaninova